

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING SEPTEMBER 20, 2011

The second semi-monthly meeting of Mansfield City Council met on Tuesday, September 20, 2011 at 7:30 PM in the Council Chambers of the City Building.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited by the audience.

Councilman Jeff Rock led the audience in prayer.

ROLL CALL: The following answered present at roll call: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock, Versaw and Scott. Absent: Haring. Upon motion by Mr. Hill and seconded by Mr. Hazen, Mrs. Haring was excused.

There being no objections, the reading of the Journal and Communications were dispensed with.

MAYOR CULLIVER: I have a couple things. First of all, I have a proclamation. Mr. Boyce, would you come forward please? This is a proclamation, Life Insurance Awareness Month.

LIFE INSURANCE AWARENESS MONTH

SEPTEMBER - 2011

WHEREAS, the vast majority of Americans recognize that it is important to protect loved ones with life insurance, with a recent survey indicating that 86 percent agree that most people need coverage; and

WHEREAS, the life insurance industry pays roughly \$60 billion to beneficiaries each year, providing a tremendous source of financial relief and security to families that experience the loss of a loved one; and

WHEREAS, despite the importance that people place on life insurance and the peace of mind that it brings to millions of American families, there are still too many Americans who lack adequate coverage; and

WHEREAS, the unfortunate reality today is that roughly 95 million adult Americans have no life insurance, and ownership of both individual and employer-sponsored life insurance has declined in recent years; and

WHEREAS, during times like these when the economy remains stagnant and so many families continue to struggle, life insurance coverage is more important than ever because most families have fewer financial resources to fall back on than in years past, increasing their financial vulnerability; and

WHEREAS, the nonprofit Life and Health Insurance Foundation for Education (LIFE) and a coalition representing hundreds of leading life insurance companies and organizations have designated September 2011 as “Life Insurance Awareness Month,” whose goal is to get consumers thinking about their need for life insurance protection, to encourage them to seek advice from a qualified insurance professional, and to take the actions necessary to achieve a financially secure future for their loved ones;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DONALD R. CULLIVER, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF MANSFIELD, OHIO, do hereby proclaim September 2011 as: *LIFE INSURANCE AWARENESS MONTH* in Mansfield and urge our citizens to learn more about life insurance and its benefits.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Seal of the City of Mansfield, Ohio to be affixed this 13th day of September, 2011.

Donald R. Culliver, Mayor

MR. BOYCE: Like he said, it’s the 8th annual National Life Insurance Awareness Month and basically we set about to increase the awareness and educate the consumer about life insurance and there’s 50 million Americans that do not have life insurance and those are the tragedy so that’s basically what Life Insurance Awareness Month is about, so we appreciate it.

MAYOR CULLIVER: There was some discussion about what we were doing in that Utilities and we told you that we would be doing certain things in phases and we did want to announce that tomorrow we will have a press release on what we’re doing and that press release announced that the City of Mansfield has completed Phase 2 of 3 phases of the Utility Collections Dept. technology upgrade project. Last year, the city completed Phase 1 with insulation of remote wired meters throughout the community. This phase not only improves efficiency and billing but it also provides the foundation for wireless download directly to the system in the coming months. Phase 2, which we’re announcing today allows residents to go online and check their bill and run history of their property and review payments made. The next and final phase of this project will be online bill pay by the end of this year. We wanted to let everybody know and the residents can make access to this page by going to the city web page at www.ci.mansfield.oh.us and clicking on “How do I view my water and sewer bill”.

MR. VERSAW: Have we paid off this company yet? Do we still owe some money?

PWD KLOUSIADIS: We have not paid them off. The project was designed, remember it was initially designed to install the remote reading devices and then it was going to be

set up with antennas to bring the information back to Utility Collections. The information process, selecting the antenna sites and installing antennas, that's being done now with these people. So that process is not done, so it was paid in stages where we paid off for the reading devices, so no, that has not been paid off.

MR. VERSAW: I just want to make sure that they're still there supporting.

PWD KLOUSIADIS: Absolutely. We're in contact with them. The way I understand it, at this point, they're putting together the sections of Mansfield and then they're trying to get, the goal is to get 100% back to the utility readers and that requires some work. They'll determine how many antennas we'll need to make that happen. That was part of the bid.

MR. VERSAW: I understand, eventually, we're going to get the real time, you can see the water usage in real time. So landlords can look at their tenants or their properties and see whether there's any spikes or anything, extra water usage going on in their units.

PWD KLOUSIADIS: Part of what the Mayor announced tonight, by going to the website, if you own a property and you have someone leasing and it's in their name, you can look at that water usage. In the past, you had to wait a month before the bill became delinquent and then you get a copy, as owner, of the delinquency. Now you can look at it in real time and see what the status of the bill is. Now, when we do the final phase, which will be streaming in live data, you'll be able to actually see that generate. One of the beauties of that is, a lot of them have empty places which, unfortunately, have water running and they don't know it til a week or two or a month later and they get these bills, so they'll be able to access and see it. If you have a site that's empty, you shouldn't be seeing usage.

MR. VERSAW: This was not a cheap project but it's going to be a nice project. Was it \$3.7million?

PWD KLOUSIADIS: I think it was just under 3, like 2.7. The beauty of this, if you remember, the reason we selected these reading devices is they would work with a number of meters when it comes time to talk about replacing the actual meter itself. So we have a lot of choices. Some of the meters didn't read everybody's actual water meter.

SSD MESSER: I think the depts. under my direction are the first to provide information to the, for the budget review Monday night and I request that we have the meeting in here. We're going to use a power point display so we can get through it quicker.

MR. VERSAW: We probably should be doing them all in here so we can get them recorded. You might want to send out a little email telling everyone the hearings will be in the Chambers.

PRESIDENT SCOTT: Pretty much all committee meetings have been in here.

SUSPEND RULES

MRS. CARMICHAEL: I move with respect to all bills that come before Council tonight that the rule requiring reading on three different days be suspended and that each bill be read one time by title only, seconded by Mr. Jefferson. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Absent: 1.

LEGISLATION

ACCEPTING AMOUNTS AND RATES (CITY)

BY: MR. JEFFERSON

RESOLUTION 11-153

Resolution accepting the amounts and rates as determined by the budget commission and authorizing the necessary tax levies and certifying them to the County Auditor.

Upon motion by Mr. Jefferson that Bill 11-156 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

ACCEPTING AMOUNTS AND RATES (TWP)

BY: MR. JEFFERSON

RESOLUTION 11-154

Resolution accepting the amounts and rates as determined by the budget commission and authorizing the necessary tax levies and certifying them to the County Auditor.

Upon motion by Mr. Jefferson that Bill 11-157 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

MR. VERSAW: Who are the Township Trustees of the Mansfield Township? We are.

PRESIDENT SCOTT: You're not only council members, you're trustees also.

MR. JEFFERSON: I'm not getting paid for it. (Laughter).

GRANT LICENSE TO RIC. CO. SOLID WASTE TO EXTEND SEWER

BY: MR. HAZEN

ORDINANCE 11-155

Granting a license to the Richland County Solid Waste Management Authority, 1125 National Parkway, Mansfield, Ohio, to extend the City sanitary sewer, along Cairns Road all at its own expense, and declaring an emergency.

Upon motion by Mr. Hazen that Bill 11-158 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

MR. VERSAW: Where is this going to? Is there a building out there that has restrooms or something?

LAW DIRECTOR REMY: My understanding of it, and Jim was here last night to explain it. It's allowing the Solid Waste Management people to take the (?) off the current, the old dump site.

PRESIDENT SCOTT: The old landfill.

LAW DIRECTOR REMY: And put it into the sanitary sewer system and let it (?) allowing them to go under the road, cut through under Cairns Rd. and connect into the city sanitary sewer.

CONTRACT FOR CUSTODIAL SERVICES

BY: MR. JEFFERSON

ORDINANCE 11-156

Authorizing the Service-Safety Director to advertise for bids and enter into a contract or contracts for custodial services in the Municipal Building, the Wastewater Treatment Plant Office Building, the Water Treatment Plant Office Building, the Utility Collections Building, and declaring an emergency.

Upon motion by Mr. Jefferson that Bill 11-159 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

ALLOW FINANCE DIRECTOR TO MAKE END OF YEAR TRANSFERS

BY: MR. JEFFERSON

ORDINANCE 11-157

Authorizing the Director of Finance to make transfers, among the City's appropriated funds by classification within departments and sub departments, necessary to balance accounts for proper accounting purposes at year-end (as of December 31, 2011).

Upon motion by Mr. Jefferson that Bill 11-160 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

YEARLY NEEDS OF THE CITY

BY: MR. JEFFERSON

ORDINANCE 11-158

Authorizing the Service-Safety Director to advertise for bids and to enter into contracts for certain yearly needs of the city, and declaring an emergency.

Upon motion by Mr. Jefferson that Bill 11-161 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

CONTRACT FOR GAS AT CITY FACILITIES

BY: MR. HAZEN

ORDINANCE 11-159

Authorizing the Service-Safety Director to advertise for bids and to enter into a contract for providing natural gas for use at the Wastewater Treatment Plant, the Ocie Hill Community Center, the Service Department Complex, and the Municipal Complex, and declaring an emergency.

Upon motion by Mr. Hazen that Bill 11-162 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

MR. VERSAW: What do we do with the Water Treatment Plant?

PWD KLOUSIADIS: When they did that last year, it was not cost effective for us. Our bill is basically electric. We don't use large amounts of gas so we left that out.

CONTRACT FOR NATURAL GAS FOR CERTAIN CITY FACILITIES

BY: MR. HAZEN

ORDINANCE 11-160

Authorizing the Service-Safety Director to enter into a contract, without competitive bidding, for providing natural gas for use at certain City facilities, and declaring an emergency.

Upon motion by Mr. Hazen that Bill 11-163 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

AMEND 105.01 – WARDS AND BOUNDARIES

BY: MR. JEFFERSON

ORDINANCE 11-161

Amending Section 105.01 of Chapter 105 (Wards and Boundaries) of the Mansfield Codified Ordinances of 1997, as amended, to change the boundaries of the City Ward Map due to the population changes evidenced by the 2010 decennial census, and declaring an emergency.

Upon motion by Mr. Jefferson that Bill 11-164 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 4, Nays: 3. Ayes: Carmichael, Hightower, Hill and Jefferson. Nays: Hazen, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

MR. VERSAW: Which one is which?

PRESIDENT SCOTT: 164...

LAW DIRECTOR REMY: If you read the whereas clauses in 164 and 165, in 165 the last whereas clause says it excludes the prisoners, 164 includes the prison population. Presumption is that if you vote on 164 and it passes, 165 will have to procedurally be pulled. You can't vote positively on both of them.

MR. VERSAW: I guess I don't see any reason why we should include the prison population. They are not citizens of Mansfield, they are not, they cannot vote and really had nothing to do with city council at all and we don't represent them and all wards can now have approximately 8,000 people represented in the wards except for Ward 5 which has 3,000. To me, that gives those 3,000 people some super representation and to me, I don't think that's quite fair. I think every ward should have the same amount of people in it that they represent and the fact that Lima doesn't include them. They see no reason to include the prisons in their population, they haven't seen any downside from excluding them in their population, so I guess I have yet to see any reason why we should include them other than they are included in the census. That's the only reason that we're dealing with this tonight, is that they are included in the census even though they have, really, no affect on anything at all. One question I have of interim SSD Messer. Would it have much affect, at all, on our grants that we got for the police? We got quite a few hundred thousand dollars of grants.

SSD MESSER: The figure we use is the U.S. Census figure that Ms. Hightower brought up a good point last night. I believe in her discussions about community Development Grants and would it ultimately affect us as well. What we do tonight doesn't mean that federal authorities down the road or even state authorities may say, well if this is good enough for your boundaries, should we be using this for your grant? And it's a big difference. Today, to answer your question, it doesn't affect us, but there've been some pretty off the wall things in Columbus, so.

MR. VERSAW: So your concern is that, in the middle of the census, between now and 2020, they could make some changes. I can't believe they would make a change that would then have an affect on our mid-cycle funding. I would assume the Law Director could file suit against the state and they could change us for no reason. Whether we could win that battle, I don't know. What do you think Law Director? I guess that's something we could do. If they tried to change our funding by, in mid-stream, you know, our population when we started was 42,000 or 44,000 and now they make a change that reduces our funding and goes retroactive back prior to the census...

SSD MESSER: Well, if they make a change it will be in the advertisement.

MR. VERSAW: Yeah, but to make a change that would affect something that was prior to the census, that would be, I can't believe they could get away with that. But I'm not a legal expert, but anyway, I'm going to be voting to exclude and there's not reason why we should include them. Lima and other cities are (inaudible). I just don't see any reason to include somebody that we don't represent. We're talking about people that we represent. Mike, you represent 8,000 people, you represent 8,000 people, Scott 8,000, right down the line except for when we get to the 5th ward, it's 3,000. So, to me, those people are getting represented, highly represented or exclusively represented to about a 60% better level than all the rest of you guys that have ward representation. It doesn't affect me at all, as a councilman-at-large as I represent the whole city. But for the ward councilmen, instead of representing 8,000 (inaudible). So, to make a long story short, I'm going to be voting no on 164.

MR. HILL: I would have concern that if the government decides to go on population and we don't count them, then we have less dollars for our police force and there's a disturbance out there and we have fewer people, police officers, that just doesn't make good sense to me. Counting more people so we can have more police officers and more grant funding than to have less. I say count them.

MRS. HIGHTOWER: I agree, I'm going to vote for, I talked to Miss Baker and we say it doesn't make a difference but when it comes to our Block Grant money and the money we receive, they are counted. So, we use them when we need to use them, then we don't want to use them at certain times. They make a big difference and I think in regards to 3,000 people or 10,000, the work is still the same. The 5th ward is well represented, whether I'm here or not.

MR. VERSAW: (Microphone not on). The 5th ward is very well represented. More so than the rest of the wards.

MRS. HIGHTOWER: Well they can take that up with you because your telling them they're not doing a good job (laughter). Seriously, I think we need to count them because we use them in the census and it's like, oh, we don't need you now so we're not going to count you. They are very important to the count. When they're looking at our totals, for minorities and all around, Mrs. Baker says we need to count them. If we choose not to, what about that loan, that was one of the things she did bring up. What about that loan we have to pay every year? What's going to happen to that when our money is decreased?

MR. HAZEN: We've talked about this for the better part of the summer and I've had the chance, along with Mr. Jefferson and Mrs. Hightower to review the maps and if, make no mistake, there's always a political element to redistricting. I don't care what anybody says in Columbus or at the Federal level or even here, there is a political home to this. In some respects, if you vote for, at least, the way I'm looking at the map. If we vote without the prisons, this, the change is very dramatic for the 1st and the 6th wards, not even counting the change that's going to happen for the 5th ward. Looks like both scenarios in the 4th and 3rd wards are going to be extended pretty much the same. From

my perspective from the 3rd ward, I think the portion that I'm giving to the 4th makes good sense and I think that portion would be well represented whether it's Mr. Jefferson or his successor or my successor (end of tape)...putting the prisons in, we're actually voting to extend the 6th ward down into the 1st ward and we're voting to give up more space for the 1st ward and then, of course, extending the 5th ward further into the 6th ward. So, whether that drastically changes the political dynamic or just very minimally changes the political dynamic, I think probably enters a little bit into the discussion. Hearing both sides of the argument, I'm probably inclined, at this point, to vote to keep the prisons out but I guess I'm a little bit like Mrs. Hightower and I'm a little bit worried that, you know, things could change in the federal and the state government, kinda without warning and we don't necessarily want to lose those funds, so honestly, I see both sides of the argument but I do think the way the wards are drawn and the boundaries are drawn, probably is part of the consideration here. So, if you vote against putting the prisons in, you're voting for probably some fairly interesting changes in the political dynamic. If you vote to keep the prisons in the 5th ward, it looks to me like the wards are going to stay fairly close to where they were before, so, that's, I guess, part of the consideration as well.

MR. VERSAW: My question is, when we recorded what the population of Mansfield is, what are they going to get? Is it dependent on how we vote tonight on what population that we are recorded to have? I don't think it does. We're including the prisoners in our census. When they say the population of Mansfield is 49,000 and we vote to exclude them, isn't our population still 49,000? Can you answer that Law Director?

LAW DIRECTOR REMY: The only way I can answer that is, the Secretary of State, based on the 2010 census, has certified the city's population to be 47,121 or something like that. And by statute he has to do that and that's based entirely on the federal census, which, the federal census includes prisoners. The official population of the city, based on the Secretary of States certification is 47,200.

MR. VERSAW: So what are we concerned about here? We're just redrawing the wards, we're not changing our population. I think we're mixing apples and oranges here myself, by anyway, go ahead.

MR. JEFFERSON: Real quick. I'd like to concur with Mr. Hill. Council, as individuals and collectively all together we should be visionaries and look to the future. Therefore, we should try to prepare for what may happen out 30 years ahead or more. Therefore, as well, Mr. Hill made a good point. If we have those people in the federal government decide to say, well you never use them, so we're not going to count them anymore, so that way we can decrease your funds, so it's better to keep them, in my opinion, and have that in reserve rather than to err on the wrong side. I'm going to keep them as well as I think, looking at the map, with the east and west being the older part of the city, you get a lot of requests for rehab and so forth and if you change this map, and Mrs. Hightower's ward, she's been very busy with demolitions as well as rehabs over there, you're going to add more rehabs on that 5th ward representative coming out of the 4th ward, which is going to create a heavier work load for that 5th ward person. This, in

my opinion, if you look at the map in that way, so, we should err on the side of caution rather than just give something away when we don't have to.

MR. HAZEN: One quick question. And this is strictly on the Housing and Urban Development question. My understanding, on that particular piece, is that that's not based on council wards as much as it's based on census overall and the income numbers and the poverty levels and that's actually cut up differently than the census. I mean, there's a strategy plan that's drawn out and we cut that up different than city wards so what we're actually doing is saying, there's a certain area of the city based on poverty levels, based on infrastructure, based on all these different things that we're carving up and if you look at the HUD map from the CDBG perspective that's used, it's actually cut up into different sections. It's not based on city ward representation. Am I wrong on that or is that the way your understanding is?

LAW DIRECTOR REMY: I do not know how CDBG calculates its money. The proper person to ask would be Mrs. Baker from Community Development.

MR. JEFFERSON: No, they do it on census tracts and don't ask me to explain the census tracts but they do have, you're right, they do use a different formula and they call it census tracts 5, 6, 7 whatever.

MR. VERSAW: (Microphone not on). I really don't think it has any affect on much of anything else. You're arguing over how it's going to affect funding and how it's affecting our population. None of that changes. All we're talking about it redrawing boundaries of wards and why is everybody bringing up stuff (inaudible). All we're talking about here is (?) and prison population and wards and how we distribute the wards and boundaries. We're not talking about funding, we're not talking about anything else.

MR. ROCK: I think what we're all concerned about is the funding. What I'm hearing tonight is everything is controlled by the census. So everything's basically going to refer back to the census, the way I'm understanding it. So, I'm going to be voting no on 164.

MR. JEFFERSON: Well, real quick I want to reiterate to Mr. Versaw, I gave you an example. If you change the wards and not include the prison, you're going to increase the workload on that 5th ward representative because again, the northwest side has more lower income poverty level over there than the south side and the southeast side. So, to say that it was a scare tactic, it's not a scare tactic, just giving you another reason why you might want to keep it with the prisons there.

MRS. HIGHTOWER: My concern is, you don't want to count the prisoners. What if next year they come in here and say, you don't want them, you don't get to count them and everything that you do depends on what your population is and they say you can't have it. You don't know that. You didn't know 2 years ago if they were going to be redistricting Ohio. We don't know.

MR. HAZEN: Let me ask a real technical question here. Are we actually drawing them out of the ward? Because from, when you look at the map, what we're saying is we're not counting them as part of representation but they're still technically in the ward, so if you want to count it one way with the prisons, you basically say there's 12,000 people. If you're counting it one way without the prisons, then you're saying there's 7,000. If you really want to look at it technically, because the prisons still part of the city boundary, so those people aren't not getting represented by the 5th ward council person, they are getting represented, we're just not counting them as part of the representation pool.

MAYOR CULLIVER: Quite obvious, my opinion is you should count the prisoners. Everybody's talking about what the state and feds can do. We're going into committee meetings about our budget coming up. We're going to be, and don't quote me on the numbers, but we might be \$1.6 million in the hole, not because of what we've done, but because of what the state's done. They're talking about taking local tax from us right now, so don't tell me what they can't do, and then they'll tell us it's not going to hurt you. Well the reality of it is, even if they send you back your money, they're going to take administrative fee and it's going to be more than what it costs us to do it ourselves. So don't tell us what the feds and the state can't do, so you have to put yourself in the best position so you can react to the things that they do to you.

Bill 11-165 was pulled. The motion was given by Mr. Hazen and seconded by Mrs. Carmichael to pull since Bill 11-164 was passed. Motion passed unanimously.

CHANGE DATE FOR SALARY REDUCTION FOR PICK-UP FOR POLICE PATROL UNIT – REPEAL 11-137 & 04-025

BY: MR. JEFFERSON

ORDINANCE 11-162

Recognition of a change in the implementation date of a salary reduction by the City of Mansfield in lieu of the City's pick-up of a portion of the mandatory contributions required by Ohio Revised Code Section 742.31 for eligible employee-members of the Fraternal Order of Police/Ohio Labor Council Patrol Unit who are members of the Ohio Police and Fire Pension Fund, repealing Ordinances #11-137 and #04-025, and declaring an emergency.

Upon motion by Mr. Jefferson that Bill 11-166 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

CHANGE DATE FOR SALARY REDUCTION FOR PICK-UP FOR POLICE COMMAND UNIT – REPEAL 11-138 & 04-024

BY: MR. JEFFERSON

ORDINANCE 11-163

Recognition of a change in the implementation date of a salary reduction by the City of Mansfield in lieu of the City's pick-up of a portion of the mandatory contributions required by Ohio Revised Code Section 742.31 for eligible employee-members of the Fraternal Order of Police/Ohio Labor Council Command Unit who are members of the Ohio Police and Fire Pension Fund, repealing Ordinances #11-138 and #04-024, and declaring an emergency.

Upon motion by Mr. Jefferson that Bill 11-167 be read and placed upon the floor for discussion, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The bill was read and placed upon the floor for final passage, seconded by Mrs. Carmichael. The vote was taken and resulted as follows: Ayes: 7, Nays: 0. Ayes: Carmichael, Hazen, Hightower, Hill, Jefferson, Rock and Versaw. Absent: Haring.

The bill was declared passed, signed by the President, approved by the Mayor and attested by the Clerk.

MR. JEFFERSON: I just want to commend all the safety service people for coming to the table and working with the administration and giving up to help the city out at this time. I know I made a couple negative comments a few years ago. If I could, I'd retract those. They have changed and came and are willing to work with the administration and do what they can to help the city and I really appreciate that.

ADJOURN: Upon motion by Mr. Hill and seconded by Mr. Rock and passed by voice vote, the meeting was adjourned.

Amy Yockey
Clerk of Council

Phillip Scott
President of Council